

## List of Croft J's arbitration cases

### ***AED Oil Ltd v Puffin FPSO Ltd (2010) 27 VR 22; 265 ALR 415; [2010] VSCA 37***

ARBITRATION — Application for stay of court proceedings — Construction of arbitration agreement — Meaning of “urgent interlocutory or declaratory relief” — Enforcement of declarations made by arbitrators — Claim not urgent — Waiver — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth).

### ***Arnwell Pty Ltd v Teilaboot Pty Ltd [2010] VSC 123***

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION — Domestic arbitration — Application to restrain arbitral proceedings from recommencing — Section 47 of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Court's inherent power to prevent injustice — *Commonwealth of Australia v Cockatoo Dockyard Pty Ltd* (1995) 36 NSWLR 662 — *State of Victoria v Seal Rocks Victoria (Australia) Pty Ltd* (2001) 3 VR 1 — Whether the court can intervene in procedural matters.

### ***Thoroughvision Pty Ltd v Sky Channel Pty Ltd [2010] VSC 139***

ARBITRATION — Arbitration under the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Judicial review of awards — Application for leave to appeal an award — Section 38 of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Meaning of “manifest error of law on the face of the award” — Reference to arbitration of the question of construction of a document — *Anaconda Operations Pty Ltd v Fluor Australia Pty Ltd* [2003] VSC 275 — *Melbourne Harbour Trust Commissioners v Hancock* (1927) 39 CLR 570 — *Thiess Pty Ltd v ConnectEast Nominee Co Pty Ltd* [2008] VSC 287.

ARBITRATION — Arbitration under the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Application to set aside the award — Section 42 of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Meaning of “misconduct” — Section 29(1)(c) of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Requirement for Arbitrator to give reasons — Standard of reasons required — *Oil Basins Ltd v BHP Billiton Ltd* (2007) 18 VR 346 — *Gordian Runoff Ltd v Westport Insurance Corporation* [2010] NSWCA 57.

### ***Oakton Services Pty Ltd v Tenix Solution Imes Pty Ltd [2010] VSC 176***

ARBITRATION — Application for stay of court proceedings — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984, s 53(1).

### ***AED Oil Ltd v Puffin FPSO Ltd [2010] VSCA 109***

COSTS — Application by the appellants for orders that the respondent pay the costs of the appeal and part of the costs of the proceeding below — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules* 2005 (Vic) r 64.24(1).

***Winter v Equuscorp Pty Ltd* [2010] VSC 419**

ARBITRATION — Arbitration under the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Judicial review of awards — Application for leave to appeal an award — Section 38 of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Meaning of “manifest error of law on the face of the award” — Meaning of “strong evidence that the arbitrator ... made an error of law and that the determination of the question may add, or may be likely to add, substantially to the certainty of commercial law” — Procedure for seeking leave to appeal on a question of law arising out of an award — Section 38(2), (4) and (5) of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — *Gordian Runoff Ltd v Westport Insurance Corporation* (2010) 267 ALR 74.

***Altain Khuder LLC v IMC Mining Inc* (2011) 276 ALR 733; 246 FLR 47; [2011] VSC 1**

ARBITRATION — Recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral award — Extent to which applicant for recognition and enforcement must establish existence of an arbitration agreement and a foreign arbitral award binding on the parties to the arbitration agreement in pursuance of which it was made — Extent of onus on a party resisting enforcement on the basis of the defences or grounds for resisting enforcement under the *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth) and the Convention on the recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral awards, New York, 1958 (“New York Convention”) — Proper procedure, and appropriateness of ex parte application, applying for recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral award under *Supreme Court (Miscellaneous Civil Proceedings) Rules* 2008, O 9, and in accordance with Practice Note 2 of 2010 — Arbitration Business: *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth), ss 2D, 3(1), 8, 9 and 39 — New York Convention, Articles II, IV and V

***Altain Khuder LLC v IMC Mining Inc (No 2)* [2011] VSC 12**

COSTS — Special costs orders — Indemnity costs — *Colgate Palmolive Co v Cussons Pty Ltd* (1993) 46 FCR 225 — *Ugly Tribe Co Pty Ltd v Sikola* [2001] VSC 189 — Special circumstances to justify an indemnity costs order — Indemnity costs in arbitration matters — In circumstances of an application to resist enforcement of an international arbitral award — *A v R* [2009] 3 HKLRD 389 — *Wing Hong Construction Ltd v Tin Wo Engineering Co Ltd* [2010] HKEC 919 — *Taigo Ltd v China Master Shipping Ltd* [2010] HKCFI 530 — *Hung Wan Construction Co Ltd v Hong Kong Housing Authority* [2010] HKCFI 650 — Civil Justice Reform (Hong Kong) — Rules of the High Court (Hong Kong), O 1A, r 1 — *Civil Procedure Act* 2010 (Vic), s 7.

***Altain Khuder LLC v IMC Mining (No 3)* [2011] VSC 105**

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE — Ancillary disclosure orders — Scope and interpretation — Whether substantial compliance — Whether evidence supports alleged dissipation of assets — Orders stayed pending expedited appeal hearing.

***Yesodei Hatorah College Inc v Trustees of the Elwood Talmud Torah Congregation (2011) 38 VR 394; [2011] VSC 622***

ARBITRATION — Arbitration under the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Judicial review of awards — Application for leave to appeal an award — Section 38 of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Meaning of “manifest error of law on the face of the award” — Meaning of “strong evidence that the arbitrator ... made an error of law and that the determination of the question may add, or may be likely to add, substantially to the certainty of commercial law” — Procedure for seeking leave to appeal on a question of law arising out of an award — Appeal allowed — Section 38(2), (4) and (5) of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — *Anaconda Operations Pty Ltd v Fluor Australia Pty Ltd* [2003] VSC 275 — *Gordian Runoff Ltd v Westport Insurance Corporation* (2010) 267 ALR 74 (NSWCA) — *Westport Insurance Corporation v Gordian Runoff Ltd* [2011] HCA 37.

ARBITRATION — Arbitration under *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Meaning of “determination ... by reference to considerations of general justice and fairness under s 22(2) of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — *Woodbud Pty Ltd v Warea Pty Ltd* (1995) 125 FLR 346 — *Allmore Constructions Pty Ltd v Failli* [2002] VSC 483 — *Christ Church Grammar School v Bosnich* [2010] VSC 476 — *Westport Insurance Corporation v Gordian Runoff Ltd* [2011] HCA 37.

ARBITRATION — Arbitration under the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Application to set aside the award — Failure of arbitrator to apply s 22(2) of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Section 42 of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — Meaning of “misconduct” — Section 29(1)(c) of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 (Vic) — *Melbourne Harbour Trust Commissioners v Hancock* (1927) 39 CLR 570 — Requirement for Arbitrator to give reasons — *Oil Basins Ltd v BHP Billiton Ltd* (2007) 18 VR 346 — *Westport Insurance Corporation v Gordian Runoff Ltd* [2011] HCA 37.

***Sunland Waterfront (BVI) Ltd v Prudentia Investments Pty Ltd (No 1) [2012] VSC 1***

PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW — Application for anti-suit injunction in relation to foreign proceedings — Where foreign proceedings involve a civil right arising out of a criminal claim — Where foreign proceedings involve similar factual dispute — Whether co-existence of proceedings oppressive or vexatious — Fact of overlap is not alone sufficient to restrain proceedings — Institution or continuance of foreign proceedings vexatious and oppressive — Abuse of court process — *Henry v Henry* (1996) 185 CLR 571 — *CSR Ltd v Cigna Insurance Australia Ltd* (1997) 189 CLR 345 — *Batistatos v Roads and Traffic Authority of NSW* (2006) 226 CLR 256 — *Wilson v Nicholls* [2011] HCA 48 — *TS Production LLC v Drew Pictures Pty Ltd* (2008) 172 FCR 433 (FC) — *Sunland Waterfront (BVI) Ltd v Prudentia Investments Pty Ltd (No 2)* [2010] FCA 312 — *Merrill Lynch, Pierce Fenner & Smith Inc v Raffa* [2000] All ER (D) 647.

***State of Victoria v Grawin Pty Ltd [2012] VSC 157***

ARBITRATION — Appeal against award — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 1984 — Section 38(4)(b) — Application for leave to discontinue appeal.

COSTS — Jurisdiction to order costs of proceeding — Whether indemnity costs or party/party costs appropriate — *Supreme Court Act 1986*, s 24(1) — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005*, r 25.05, r 63.15.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW — Whether jurisdiction of Supreme Court with respect to costs affected — *Port Bellarine Tourist Resort (Repeal) Act 2012*, s 10 — *Constitution Act 1975*, s 85.

***Biosciences Research Centre Pty Ltd v Plenary Research Pty Ltd [2012] VSC 249***

CONTRACT — Construction contract — Extension of time claim — Whether disputed claims to be referred to an independent expert or to arbitration for determination — Interpretation and construction of the dispute resolution regime — Intention of parties — Nature of dispute and construction issues — Appropriateness of determination by independent expert — *Badgin Nominees Pty Ltd v Oneida Ltd [1998] VSC 188* — *The Heart Research Institute Ltd v Psiron Ltd [2002] NSWSC 646*.

ARBITRATION — Clause permitting resolution of extension of time dispute by arbitration — Whether binding arbitration agreement — *Manningham City Council v Dura (Australia) Constructions Pty Ltd (1999) 3 VR 13 (CA)* — *Commercial Arbitration Act (Vic) 1984*, subs 53(1).

WORDS AND PHRASES — Interpretation of the word “may” in dispute resolution clauses — *Oakton Services Pty Ltd v Tenix Solutions IMES Pty Ltd [2010] VSC 176*.

***Limin James Chen v Kevin McNamara & Son Pty Ltd [2013] VSC 539***

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE — Non-compliance with time limit for filing and serving an originating motion applying for leave to appeal — Non-compliance with time limit for filing affidavit in support and summons associated with appeal — Length of delays — Whether reasonable or acceptable explanation for delays — Prejudice to other party — Prospects of success — Contravention of the overarching obligations of the *Civil Procedure Act 2010 (Vic)* — *Kuek v Devflan Pty Ltd [2012] VSC 571* — *Peter Schwartz (Overseas) Pty Ltd v Morton [2003] VSC 144* -.

ARBITRATION — Domestic arbitral award — Leave to appeal an arbitral award — Manifest error of law — *Commercial Arbitration Act 1984 (Vic)*, s 38 — *Yesodei Hatorah College Inc v The Trustees of the Elwood Talmud Torah Congregation [2011] VSC 622* — *Mediterranean Olives Financial Pty Ltd v Lederberger [2011] VSC 301*.

***Subway Systems Australia Pty Ltd v Ireland [2013] VSC 550***

ARBITRATION — Application for leave to appeal from orders made by Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal — Appeal on question of law — Whether Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal is a “court” and therefore obliged to refer the parties to arbitration in a matter which is the subject of an arbitration agreement — *Director of Housing v Sudi [2011] VSCA 266* — *Commercial Arbitration Act 2011*, ss 2, 6 and 8; *Victorian Civil & Administrative Tribunal Act 1998*, s 77.

RETAIL LEASES — Extent to which other agreement or arrangement would be void if it were contained in a retail premises lease — *Retail Leases Act 2003*, s 94.

***Trility Pty Ltd v Ancon Drilling Pty Ltd [2013] VSC 577***

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE — Security for costs — Arbitration — Commercial — Significance of delay in making application — Weighing of discretionary factors — *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth), s 1335(1) — *Commercial Arbitration Act 1990* (Qld), s 47 — *LivingSpring Pty Ltd v Klinger Partners* (2008) 20 VR 377 — *KP Cable Investments Pty Ltd v Meltglow Pty Ltd* (1995) 56 FCR 189 — *Christou v Stanton Partners Australasia Pty Ltd* [2011] WASCA 176 — *Citrus Queensland Pty Ltd v Sunstate Orchards Pty Ltd* (No 3) [2006] FCA 1498.

***Subway Systems Australia Pty Ltd v Ireland (No 2) Pty Ltd [2013] VSC 693***

COSTS — Whether costs of mediation are “costs in the proceeding” — Whether mediation by consent relevant — The role of mediation in a proceeding — Overarching obligations of parties — *Newcastle City Council v Paul Wieland* [2009] NSWCA 113, *Charlick Trading Pty Ltd v Australian National Railways Commission* [2001] FCA 629 — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005*, O 63, *Civil Procedure Act 2010* (Vic), s 7.

***Andent Pty Ltd v Thornhill Machine Tools Australia Pty Ltd [2014] VSC 647***

ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRAL AWARD — Sections 34, 35, 36 *Commercial Arbitration Act 2011* — *Agromet Motoimport Ltd v Maulden Engineering Co (Beds) Ltd* [1985] 2 All ER 436 — *IBSSL v Minerals Trading Corp* [1996] 1 All ER 1017 — *Antclizo Shipping Corporation v The Food Corporation of India* [1998] WASC 342.

***Chief Executive Officer of Australian Sports Anti-Doping Authority Australian Football League (ASADA) v 34 Players and One Support Person [2014] VSC 635***

ARBITRATION — Application for subpoena requiring attendance for examination before AFL Anti-Doping Tribunal — *Commercial Arbitration Act*, s 27A — *Supreme Court (Chapter II Arbitration Amendment) Rules 2014*, r 9.14 — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005*, O 42 — Characteristics of an arbitration — *Re Carus-Wilson and Greene* (1886) 18 QBD 7 — *Age Old Builders Pty Ltd v Swintons Pty Ltd* [2003] VSC 307 — *Swintons Pty Ltd v Age Old Builders Pty Ltd* [2005] VSCA 217 — *Walkinshaw v Diniz* [2000] 2 All ER (Comm) 237 — *England and Wales Cricket Board v Kaneria* [2013] EWHC 1074 (Comm) — Whether AFL Anti — Doping Tribunal is an arbitral tribunal — AFL Player Rules, rr 4.1, 4.9, 42.1, 42.3, 42.4, 42.7 — Whether AFL Anti — Doping Tribunal proceedings comprise domestic arbitration — Whether AFL Anti — Doping Tribunal proceedings comprise commercial arbitration — *Nobes v Australian Cricket Board* (Unreported, Supreme Court of Victoria, Marks J, 16 December 1991) — *R v Judges of the Federal Court of Australia; Ex parte The Western Australian Football League (Incorporated)* (1979) 143 CLR 190 — *Adamson v New South Wales Rugby League Limited* (1991) 31 FCR 242 — *Hearn v O'Rourke* (2003) 129 FCR 64.

***Australian Sports Anti-Doping Authority Australian Football League v 34 Players* [2015] VSC 14**

COSTS — Application for leave to issue subpoena under Commercial Arbitration Act 2011 — Whether applicant should pay the costs of leave hearing — Basis upon which costs so ordered should be calculated — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules* 2005, O 42.11 — *Supreme Court (Ch II Arbitration Amendment) Rules* 2014, r 9.14(8).

***Giedo van der Garde BV v Sauber Motorsport AG* (2015) 317 ALR 792; [2015] VSC 80**

ARBITRATION — Enforcement of a foreign arbitral award — Whether arbitration dealt with a difference not contemplated or falling outside submission to arbitration — Arbitrability — Public policy — Natural justice — *Comandate Marine Corp v Pan Australia Shipping Pty Ltd* (2006) 157 FCR 45 — *TCL Air Conditioner (Zhongshan) Co Ltd v Castel Electronics Pty Ltd* (2014) 311 ALR 387 — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth), ss 8(5)(d), 8(7)(a), 8(7)(b), 8(7A)(a), 8(7A)(b).

***Giedo van der Garde BV v Sauber Motorsport AG (No 2)* [2015] VSC 109**

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE — Application to set aside final orders by consent — Whether inherent jurisdiction — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules* 2005, r 66.14 — *Permanent Trustee Co (Canberra) Ltd (Executor estate of Andrews) v Stocks & Holdings (Canberra) Pty Ltd* (1976) 15 ACTR 45 — *Lollis v Loulatzis (No 3)* [2008] VSC 231.

ARBITRATION — Whether final orders for recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral award may be set aside by consent — Grant of stay until further order — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth) — New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, Article III.

***Cameron Australasia Pty Ltd v AED Oil Ltd* [2015] VSC 163**

ARBITRATION — Application to set aside domestic award — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011 s 34(2)(a)(ii), s 34(2)(a)(iv) — Refusal by Tribunal to allow party to re-open its case not grounds for setting aside following strategic concession by party — Refusal to allow reliance on an expert report where expert not called, not grounds for setting aside — Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration — *TCL Air Conditioner (Zhongshan) Co Ltd v Castel Electronics Pty Ltd* (2014) 311 ALR 387 — *Grand Pacific Holdings Ltd v Pacific China Holdings Ltd (in liq) (No 1)* [2012] 4 HKLRD 1 — *Triulzi Cesare SRL v Xinyi Group (Glass) Co Ltd* [2015] 1 SLR 114 — *AKN v ALC* [2015] SGCA 18.

***Esposito Holdings Pty Ltd v UDP Holdings Pty Ltd* [2015] VSC 183**

ARBITRATION — Application for issue of subpoenas — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 s 23 — *Alinta Sales Pty Ltd v Woodside Energy Ltd* [2008] WASC 304 (17 December 2008).

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE — Security for costs of compliance with subpoena — *Supreme Court (Chapter II Arbitration Amendment) Rules* 2014 r 9.06(4) — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules* 2005 r 42.11.

***Robotunits Pty Ltd v Mennel* (2015) 49 VR 323; 297 FLR 300; [2015] VSC 268**

ARBITRATION — Stay of proceedings and referral to arbitration — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth) s 7(2)(b) — Determination of a “matter“ — Whether “matter“ for determination must be sustainable or have reasonable prospects of success — Scope of arbitration agreement — Whether matters involving the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) are capable of settlement by arbitration — *Channel Tunnel Group Ltd v Balfour Beatty Construction Ltd* [1993] AC 334 — *Rinehart v Welker* [2012] NSWCA 95 — *Hancock v Rinehart* (2013) 96 ACSR 76 — *ACD Tridon Inc v Tridon Australia Pty Ltd* [2002] NSWSC 896.

***Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Ltd v Gutnick* (2015) 304 FLR 199; [2015] VSC 724**

ARBITRATION — Enforcement of foreign award — Public policy ground for refusing enforcement — Whether enforcement of a foreign award that allows for double recovery would be contrary to public policy — Discretion to enforce where enforcement otherwise contrary to public policy — Permissibility of imposing a condition on enforcement — Role of the courts — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth) ss 8(2), 8(7)(b) — UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, art 36(1)(b)(ii) — Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, art V(2)(b).

EQUITY — Rescission of contract for the purchase of shares for fraudulent misrepresentation — Meaning of rescission — Whether consequential orders required to effect restitution — Revesting of equitable ownership of shares — *Spence v Crawford* [1939] 3 All ER 271 — *Alati v Kruger* (1955) 94 CLR 216 — *Kramer v McMahon* [1970] 1 NSW 194 — *Sons of Gwalia Ltd v Margaretic* (2007) 231 CLR 160.

***Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Ltd v Gutnick (No 2)* [2015] VSC 770**

ARBITRATION — Application to stay execution of a judgment enforcing a foreign arbitral award — Relevance of the context of international commercial arbitration — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth) — *Gujarat NRE Coke Ltd v Coeclerici Asia (Pte) Ltd* [2013] FCA 918 — *Elders International Australia Pty Ltd v Beijing Be Green Import & Export Co Ltd* (2014) 324 ALR 194 — *Far Eastern Shipping Co v AKP Sovcomflot* [1995] 1 Lloyd’s Rep 520 — *Strandore Invest A/S v Soh* [2010] SGHC 174.

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE — Application to stay execution of a judgment pending an appeal — Matter properly to be decided by the Court of Appeal — *Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules* 2015, r 66.16.

***Amasya Enterprises Pty Ltd v Asta Developments (Aust) Pty Ltd* [2016] VSC 326**

ARBITRATION — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011, s 18 — UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, art 18 — Relevant test — Divergence of Model Law and common law approaches — *Trustees of Rotoaira Forest Trust v Attorney-General* [1999] 2 NZLR 452 distinguished — Irrelevance of the merits — Allegation that award made on the basis of a claim that was not pleaded or argued in the arbitration — Whether objecting party given a reasonable opportunity of presenting the party’s case — Whether there was an “opportunity” — *Interbulk Ltd v Aiden Shipping Co Ltd; The Vimeira* [1984] 2 Lloyd’s Rep 66

— *Terna Bahrain Holding Co WLL v Bin Kamil Al Shamsi* [2013] 2 CLC 1 — Whether opportunity amounted to a “reasonable opportunity” — Relevance of all the circumstances of the case — *AKN v ALC* [2015] SGCA 18.

ARBITRATION — Application to set aside domestic award — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011 ss 23(3), 34(2)(a)(ii) and 34(2)(b)(ii) — Content of and relationship between “unable to present its case” ground and “public policy” ground — Procedural fairness — Natural justice — *TCL Air Conditioner (Zhongshan) Co Ltd v Castel Electronics Pty Ltd* (2014) 232 FCR 361.

ARBITRATION — Application to enforce domestic award — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011 ss 35, 36(1)(a)(ii) and 36(1)(b)(ii).

***Blanalko Pty Ltd v Lysaght Building Solutions Pty Ltd* (2017) 52 VR 198; [2017] VSC 97**

ARBITRATION — Application for a stay of court proceedings in favour of arbitral proceedings — Application to set aside arbitral award — Whether arbitral award final where all issues referred to arbitration not determined — Extent to which correction and interpretation provisions of the legislation are applicable where arbitrator decides, expressly, not to determine an issue — Extent to which arbitrator’s mandate subsists — *Johnson v Latham* (1850) 19 LJQB 329 — *Gatoil International Inc v National Iranian Oil Company* (unreported, 22 February 1990, EWCA) — *Administration of Norfolk Island v SMEC Australia Pty Ltd* (2004) NFSC 1 — *CRW Joint Operation v PT Perusahaan Gas Negara (Persero) TBK* [2011] 4 SLR 305 — *TCL Air Conditioner (Zhongshan) Company Ltd v Castel Electronics Pty Ltd* (2014) 232 FCR 361 — *BLC v BLB* [2014] 4 SLR 79 — *Dyna-Jet Pte Ltd v Wilson Taylor Asia Pacific Pte Ltd* [2016] SGHC 238 — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011, s 5, 14, 32, 33 and 34 — *Arbitration Act* 1996 (Eng), s 68.

***Aurecon Australasia Pty Ltd v BMD Constructions Pty Ltd* (2017) 52 VR 267; [2017] VSC 382**

ARBITRATION – PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – Subpoena for examination of a person not party to the arbitration agreement – Whether reasonable grounds for the issue of the subpoena are required – Role of the Court in assisting arbitral tribunals – *Delphi Petroleum Inc v Derin Shipping & Trading Ltd* (1993) 73 FTR 241 – *ASADA v 34 Players and One Support Person* [2014] VSC 635 – *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011 ss 27, 27A.

***Lin Tiger Plastering Pty Ltd v Platinum Construction (Vic) Pty Ltd* [2018] VSC 221**

ARBITRATION — Application for ruling on the jurisdiction of a domestic arbitral tribunal — Whether the prohibition of arbitration agreements under the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 applies — *Dallah Real Estate and Tourism Holding Company v The Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Government of Pakistan* [2010] 3 WLR 1472 — *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011 s 16(9) — *Domestic Building Contracts Act* 1995 ss 5, 6 and 14 — *Domestic Building Contracts Regulation* 2017 reg 7 — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth) sch 2 — UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration 1985 art 16(3).



***UDP Holdings Pty Ltd v Esposito Holdings Pty Ltd* [2018] VSC 316**

ARBITRATION — Practice and procedure — Application for issue of subpoenas for examination of a person not party to the arbitration agreement — *Alinta Sales Pty Ltd v Woodside Energy Ltd* [2008] WASC 304 — *Aurecon Australasia Pty Ltd v BMD Constructions Pty Ltd* (2017) 52 VR 267 — *International Arbitration Act* 1974 ss 22A, 23.

***UDP Holdings Pty Ltd v Esposito Holdings Pty Ltd (No 2)* [2018] VSC 741**

ARBITRATION – PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – International arbitration – Enforcement of arbitral award – Application to set aside arbitral award – Extent of reasonable opportunity to present the party’s case – *Corporacion Tranacional de Inversiones, SA de CV v STET International SpA* (1999) 45 OR (3d) 183 – *Gujarat NRE Coke Ltd v Coeclerici Asia (Pte) Ltd* (2013) 304 ALR 468 – No special rules of procedure for a self-represented party – Overarching test of fairness – *0927613 BC Ltd v 0941187 BC Ltd* [2015] BCCA 457 – Capacity – No universal test for determining whether a person is capable of managing own affairs – *Murphy v Doman (as Representative of the Estate of the late Min Simpson)* (2003) 58 NSWLR 51 – Public policy ground for setting aside arbitral award – *Amasya Enterprises Pty Ltd v Asta Developments (Aust) Pty Ltd* [2016] VSC 326 – *TCL Air Conditioner (Zhongshan) Co Ltd v Castel Electronics Pty Ltd* (2014) 311 ALR 387; 232 FCR 361 – *International Arbitration Act* 1974 (Cth), ss 2D, 16, 18C – UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration adopted by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on 21 June 1985 and amended by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on 7 July 2006, arts 18, 19, 34.

***Mitchell Water Australia Pty Ltd v McConnell Dowell Constructors (Aust) Pty Ltd* [2018] VSC 753**

ARBITRATION – Application for adjournment of application to enforce arbitral award pending resolution of applications in the court of the “arbitral seat” (Supreme Court of Queensland) – *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2011 (Vic), ss 35 and 36 – *Commercial Arbitration Act* 2013 (Qld), ss 16(9), (11) and 34.